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Abstract

It is shown how sums of squares of real valued functions can be used to give new proofs of the reality of the zeros of the Bessel functions $J_\alpha(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -1$, confluent hypergeometric functions $\,_{0}F_{1}(c; z)$ when $c > 0$ or $0 > c > -1$, Laguerre polynomials $L_\alpha^n(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -2$, and Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -1$ and $\beta \geq -1$. Besides yielding new inequalities for $|F(z)|^2$, where $F(z)$ is one of these functions, the derived identities lead to inequalities for $\partial |F(z)|^2/\partial y$ and $\partial^2 |F(z)|^2/\partial y^2$, which also give new proofs of the reality of the zeros.

1 Introduction

In a 1975 survey paper [11] on positivity and special functions it was shown how sums of squares of real valued functions could be used to prove the nonnegativity of the Fejér kernel, the positivity of integrals of Bessel functions [10] and of the Cotes’ numbers for some Jacobi abscissas, a Turán type inequality for Bessel functions, the Askey-Gasper inequality (cf. [1], [2], [13], [15])

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_k^{(\alpha,0)}(x) \geq 0, \quad \alpha > -2, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,
\]
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which de Branges [5] employed to complete his proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, and to prove the more general inequalities [12]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(\lambda + 1)_k}{k!} \frac{(\lambda + 1)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \frac{P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{P_k^{(\beta,\alpha)}(1)} \geq 0, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,
\]

when \(0 \leq \lambda \leq \alpha + \beta\) and \(\beta \geq -1/2\). It was also pointed out in [11] that, since one of Jensen’s necessary and sufficient conditions for the Riemann Hypothesis to hold (given in Pólya [19]) is the condition that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(s)\Phi(t) e^{i(s+t)x} (s - t)^{2n} ds dt \geq 0, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,
\]

for \(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\), where

\[
\Phi(t) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k^4\pi^2e^{9t/2} - 3k^2\pi e^{5t/2}) e^{-k^2\pi^2t},
\]

and the above integral is a square when \(n = 0\), the method of sums of squares is suggested for proving (1.3).

Another of Jensen’s necessary and sufficient conditions for the Riemann Hypothesis to hold is that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(s)\Phi(t) e^{i(s+t)x} e^{iy(s-t)} (s - t)^{2} ds dt \geq 0, \quad -\infty < x, y < \infty,
\]

which can also be written in the equivalent form

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\Xi(x + iy)|^2 \geq 0, \quad -\infty < x, y < \infty,
\]

with

\[
\Xi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \exp(izt) dt = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \cos(zt) dt.
\]

That (1.6) is a sufficient condition for the Riemann \(\Xi(z)\) function to have only real zeros follows directly from observation that, since \(|\Xi(x + iy)|^2 = \Xi(x + iy)\Xi(x - iy)\) is a nonnegative even function of \(y\), (1.6) implies that \(|\Xi(x + iy)|^2\)
is a nonnegative even convex function of $y$ with its unique minimum at $y = 0$, and hence $\Xi(x + iy) \neq 0$ whenever $y \neq 0$. If the function $\Phi(t)$ in (1.3) and (1.5) is replaced by a function $\Psi(t)$ such that the conditions stated in [19, §1], are satisfied, then, by [19, pp. 17, 18], the inequalities in (1.3) and (1.5) are necessary and sufficient conditions for the Fourier (or cosine) transform of $\Psi(t)$ to have only real zeros. In 1913 Jensen [18] proved that each of the inequalities

\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |F(x + iy)|^2 &\geq 0, \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |F(x + iy)|^2 &\geq 0, \\
-\infty &< x, y < \infty,
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

is necessary and sufficient for a real entire function $F(z) \neq 0$ of genus 0 or 1 (cf. Boas [4, Chapter 2]) to have only real zeros. Also see Titchmarsh [21] and Varga [22, Chapter 3].

In view of these observations and the successes of the sums of squares method (also see [14], [16, Chapter 8]), since the early 1970’s I have been investigating how squares of real valued functions can be used to prove that certain entire functions have only real zeros and to prove inequalities of the form in (1.8). In this paper I demonstrate how certain series expansions in sums of squares of special functions give new proofs of the reality of the zeros of the Bessel functions $J_\alpha(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -1$, confluent hypergeometric functions $_0F_1(c; z)$ when $c > 0$ or $0 > c > -1$, Laguerre polynomials $L_\alpha^n(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -2$, and Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$ when $\alpha \geq -1$ and $\beta \geq -1$. Here, as elsewhere, $z = x + iy$ is a complex variable and $x$ and $y$ are real variables. For the definitions of these functions and their properties, see Erdélyi [9] and Szegö [20]. In addition, it will be shown that besides yielding new inequalities for $|F(z)|^2$, where $F(z)$ is one of these functions, the derived identities lead to inequalities for $\partial|F(z)|^2/\partial y$ and $\partial^2|F(z)|^2/\partial y^2$, which also give new proofs of the reality of the zeros.

## 2 Initial observations

In order to see how easily sums of squares can be used to prove that all of the zeros of $\sin z$ and $\cos z$ are real, it suffices to observe that we have the (easily verified) identities

\begin{equation}
|\sin z|^2 = \sin^2 x + \sinh^2 y,
\end{equation}
and to note that sinh $y = (e^y - e^{-y})/2 > 0$ when $y > 0$, and sinh $y < 0$ when $y < 0$.

One can also take partial derivatives of the identities in (2.1) and (2.2) with respect to $y$ to obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} |\sin z|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |\cos z|^2 = \sinh 2y
\]

which shows that $|\sin z|^2$ and $|\cos z|^2$ are increasing (decreasing) functions of $y$ when $y > 0$ ($y < 0$), and to obtain

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\sin z|^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\cos z|^2 = 2 \cosh 2y = 2(cosh^2 y + sinh^2 y) \geq 2,
\]

which shows that $|\sin z|^2$ and $|\cos z|^2$ are convex functions of $y$. Then, because $|\sin z|^2$ and $|\cos z|^2$ are nonnegative even functions of $y$, it immediately follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that $\sin z$ and $\cos z$ have only real zeros.

Observe that the reality of the zeros of $\sin z$ and $\cos z$ also follows from the inequalities

\[
|\sin z|^2 > \sin^2 x, \quad |\cos z|^2 > \cos^2 x, \quad (y \neq 0)
\]

\[
|\sin z|^2 \geq \sinh^2 y, \quad |\cos z|^2 \geq \sinh^2 y,
\]

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |\sin z|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |\cos z|^2 \geq 2y^2,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\sin z|^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\cos z|^2 \geq 2 \cosh^2 y
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\sin z|^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |\cos z|^2 \geq 2 + 2 \sinh^2 y
\]

which are consequences of (2.1)–(2.4).
3 Bessel functions and $\,_{0}F_{1}(c; z)$ functions

Since the identities and inequalities in §2 give the reality of the zeros of the Bessel functions \[(z/2)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \,_{0}F_{1}(\alpha+1; -z^2/4)\]

this suggests that it should be possible to use sums of squares to prove Lommel’s theorem (see Watson \[23, p. 482\]) that all of the zeros of the Bessel function \[J_{\alpha}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\alpha!} (\,_{0}F_{1}(\alpha+1; -z^2/4))\]

are real when $\alpha > -1$. With this aim in mind and in order to work with entire functions, we set

\[J_{\alpha}(z) = z^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \,_{0}F_{1}(\alpha+1; -z^2/4),\]

which is an even entire function of $z$ such that $J_{\alpha}(z) = J_{\alpha}(z)$ when $\alpha$ is real.

Let $\alpha > -1$. Then, from the product formula (37) in Carlitz \[8\],

\[|J_{\alpha}(z)|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})_k 2^{k-\alpha}}{k!(2\alpha+1)_k \Gamma(\alpha+1)} (x^2 + y^2)^k J_{\alpha+k}(2x).\]

To express each of the Bessel functions on the right side of (3.4) as a sum of squares of real valued Bessel functions observe that from the addition theorem for Bessel functions \[9, 7.15(30)] we have the expansion

\[J_{\alpha+k}(2x) = 2^{k+\alpha} \Gamma(k + \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j + k + \alpha)(2k + 2\alpha)j}{j!} (-1)^j x^{2j} (J_{\alpha+j+k}(x))^2.\]

Hence, substituting (3.5) into (3.4) and changing the order of summation we find that

\[|J_{\alpha}(z)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \alpha)(2\alpha)_n}{\alpha n!} (-1)^n x^{2n} \times \,_{2}F_{1}(-n, n + 2\alpha; 2\alpha + 1; 1 + y^2/x^2)(J_{\alpha+n}(x))^2.\]
Now apply the Euler transformation formula [2.9(3)]

\[ 2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1 - z)^{-a} 2F_1(a, c - b; c; z/(z - 1)) \]

to the above \(2F_1\) series to obtain the desired sum of squares expansion formula

\[ |J_\alpha(z)|^2 = (J_\alpha(x))^2 + 2(\alpha + 1)y^2(J_{\alpha+1}(x))^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2n + 2\alpha)(2\alpha + 1)}{n!} y^{2n} \]

\[ \times 2F_1(-n, 1 - n; 2\alpha + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2)(J_{\alpha+n}(x))^2. \]

When \( n \geq 2, \alpha > -1 \) and \( y \neq 0 \), the positivity of the coefficients of \((J_{\alpha+n}(x))^2\) in (3.8) follows from

\[ (2\alpha + 1) 2F_1(-n, 1 - n; 2\alpha + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2) = (2\alpha + 1 + n^2 - n) \]

\[ + n(n - 1)x^2/y^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k(1 - n)_k}{k! (2\alpha + 2)_{k-1}} (1 + x^2/y^2)^k > 0. \]

Hence, since the real zeros of \( J_\alpha(x) \) and \( J_{\alpha+1}(x) \) are interlaced, (3.8) gives a sum of squares proof that the entire functions \( J_\alpha(z) \), and thus the Bessel functions \( J_\alpha(z) \), have only real zeros when \( \alpha > -1 \). Letting \( \alpha \to -1 \) it follows that the Bessel function \( J_{-1}(z) = \lim_{\alpha \to -1} J_\alpha(z) = -J_1(z) \) has only real zeros.

Notice that the inequality

\[ |J_\alpha(z)|^2 \geq (J_\alpha(x))^2 + 2(\alpha + 1)(yJ_{\alpha+1}(x))^2 > 0, y \neq 0, \alpha > -1, \]

and in fact infinitely many inequalities follow from (3.8) by just dropping terms from the right side of (3.8). Analogous to (2.7)–(2.9), it follows by differentiating equation (3.6) with respect to \( y \) and applying (3.7) that we also have the identities

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |J_\alpha(z)|^2 = 4y^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 2)_{n}}{n!} y^{2n} \]

\[ \times 2F_1(-n, -n; 2\alpha + 2; 1 + x^2/y^2)(J_{\alpha+n+1}(x))^2 \]
and

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |J_\alpha(z)|^2 = \frac{4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 2)_n y^{2n}}{n!} \times 2F_1(-n, -n; 2\alpha + 2; 1 + x^2/y^2)(J_{\alpha+n+1}(x))^2 \\
+ 8y^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \alpha + 2)(2\alpha + 3)_{n+1}}{n!} y^{2n} \times 2F_1(-n, -n - 1; 2\alpha + 3; 1 + x^2/y^2)(J_{\alpha+n+2}(x))^2,
\]

which give infinitely many inequalities, such as, e.g.,

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |J_\alpha(z)|^2 \geq 4(\alpha + 1)(y J_{\alpha+1}(x))^2 \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq -1,
\]

(3.13)

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |J_\alpha(z)|^2 \geq 4(\alpha + 1)(J_{\alpha+1}(x))^2 \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq -1,
\]

(3.14)

each of which proves that \( J_\alpha(z) \) has only real zeros when \( \alpha \geq -1 \).

In view of (3.3) the reality of the zeros of \( J_\alpha(z) \) when \( \alpha > -1 \) is equivalent to the statement that all of the zeros of the confluent hypergeometric function \( \text{$_0F_1(c ; z)$} \) are real and negative when \( c > 0 \). However, it is known [17] that the zeros of \( \text{$_0F_1(c ; z)$} \) are also real (but not necessarily negative) when \( -1 < c < 0 \). Because this fact does not follow from (3.8) or (3.11)–(3.14), we will next show how it can also be proved by using sums of squares of real value functions.

From formulas (53) and (52) in Burchnall and Chaundy [7] it follows that if \( c \) is real valued and \( c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \), then we have the expansion formulas

\[
|\text{$_0F_1(c ; z)$}|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! (c)_k (c+2k)_2} (x^2 + y^2)^k \text{$_0F_1(c + 2k ; 2x)$}
\]

(3.15)

and

\[
\text{$_0F_1(c + 2k ; 2x)$} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j! (c + 2k + j - 1)_j (c + 2k)_{2j}} x^{2j} (\text{$_0F_1(c + 2k + 2j ; x)$})^2.
\]

(3.16)
As in the Bessel function case, substitute (3.16) into (3.15) and change the order of summation to get

\[
(3.17) \quad |_{0}F_{1}(c; z)|^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!(c + n - 1)_{n}}\frac{x^{2n}}{2n!} \times 2F_{1}(-n, n + c - 1; c; 1 + y^{2}/x^{2})(_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n; x))^{2}
\]

which, by applying the transformation formula (3.7), gives

\[
(3.18) \quad |_{0}F_{1}(c; z)|^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n + c - 1)_{n}}\frac{y^{2n}}{2n!} \times 2F_{1}(-n, 1 - n; c + 1; 1 + x^{2}/y^{2})(_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n; x))^{2}.
\]

When \(c > 0\) and \(y \neq 0\) the coefficient of \((_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n; x))^{2}\) in the series in (3.18) is obviously positive. Hence, since \(_{0}F_{1}(c; x) > 0\) when \(c > 0\) and \(x \geq 0\), (3.18) gives another proof that \(_{0}F_{1}(c; z)\) has only real negative zeros when \(c > 0\).

To handle the case \(-1 < c < 0\) differentiate equation (3.17) with respect to \(y\) and apply (3.7) to obtain

\[
(3.19) \quad y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |_{0}F_{1}(c; z)|^{2} = 2y^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c + 1)^{n}}{n!(c + 1)_{2n}(c + 1)_{2n+1}} y^{2n} \times 2F_{1}(-n, -n; c + 1; 1 + x^{2}/y^{2})(_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n + 2; x))^{2}
\]

and

\[
(3.20) \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} |_{0}F_{1}(c; z)|^{2} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c + 1)^{n}}{n!(c + 1)_{2n}(c + 1)_{2n+1}} y^{2n} \times 2F_{1}(-n, -n; c + 1; 1 + x^{2}/y^{2})(_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n + 2; x))^{2} + 4y^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c + 2)_{n+1}}{n!(c + 1)_{2n+2}(c + 1)_{2n+3}} y^{2n} \times 2F_{1}(-n, -n - 1; c + 2; 1 + x^{2}/y^{2})(_{0}F_{1}(c + 2n + 4; x))^{2}
\]

which, in particular, give the inequalities

\[
(3.21) \quad y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |c(c + 1)_{0}F_{1}(c; z)|^{2} \geq 2(c + 1)(y_{0}F_{1}(c + 2; x))^{2}, \quad c \geq -1,
\]
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and
\begin{equation}
\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) |c(c+1)\, _0F_1(c;z)|^2 \geq 2(c+1)(\, _0F_1(c+2;x))^2, \quad c \geq -1.
\end{equation}

Since the coefficients on the right hand sides of (3.19)–(3.22) are clearly positive when \( c > -1 \) and \( y \neq 0 \), these formulas prove that the functions \( c(c+1)\, _0F_1(c;z) \) have only real zeros when \( c \geq -1 \), where it is understood that \( c(c+1)\, _0F_1(c;z) \) is to be replaced by its \( c \to 0 \) limit case \( \, _0F_1(2;z) \) when \( c = 0 \), and by its \( c \to -1 \) limit case \( z^2\, _0F_1(3;z)/2 \) when \( c = -1 \).

### 4 Laguerre polynomials and \( _1F_1(a;c;z) \) functions

When \( \alpha > -1 \) the Laguerre polynomials
\begin{equation}
L_n^\alpha(z) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} \, _1F_1(-n;\alpha+1;z)
\end{equation}
satisfy the orthogonality relation
\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty L_n^\alpha(x)L_m^\alpha(x)x^\alpha e^{-x} \, dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!}\delta_{nm}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{equation}
from which it follows by a standard argument (cf. [20, §3.3]) that the zeros of \( L_n^\alpha(z) \) are real and positive. Analogous to the last part of the previous section, in this section we will derive some sums of squares expansions which, besides proving the reality of the zeros of these polynomials when \( \alpha > -1 \), also prove that they have only real zeros (not necessarily positive) when \( -1 \geq \alpha \geq -2 \), where \( L_n^\alpha(z) \) is defined to be the \( \alpha \to -k \) limit case of (4.1) when \( \alpha \) is a negative integer \( -k \). Thus \( L_1^\alpha(z) = \alpha+1-z \), which has a negative zero when \( \alpha < -1 \), and \( L_2^\alpha(z) = ((\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)-2(\alpha+2)z+z^2)/2 \), which has non-real zeros when \( \alpha < -2 \).
Let $\alpha$ be real valued. Substituting the sum of squares of Laguerre polynomials expansion (from [4] (91))

\[
L_{n-k}^{\alpha+2k}(2x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \frac{(n-k-j)! (2k + 2j + \alpha)(2k + \alpha)_{j}}{j! (2k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha + 1)_{n+j-k}} \times (-1)^j x^{2j} \left( L_{n-k-j}^{\alpha+2k+2j}(x) \right)^2
\]

into the special case of [3, (5.4)]

\[
|L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k! (\alpha + 1)_{k}} (x^2 + y^2)^k L_{n-k}^{\alpha+2k}(2x)
\]

and changing the order of summation yields

\[
|L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k! (\alpha + 1)_{n+k}} (x^2 + y^2)^k x^{2k} \times _2F_1(-k; k + \alpha; \alpha + 1; 1 + y^2/x^2) \left( L_{n-k}^{\alpha+2k}(x) \right)^2.
\]

Then application of (3.7) gives

\[
|L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k! (\alpha + 1)_{n+k}} y^{2k} \times _2F_1(-k, 1 - k; \alpha + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2) \left( L_{n-k}^{\alpha+2k}(x) \right)^2.
\]

Since $L_0^\alpha(x) \equiv 1$ and the coefficients on the right hand side of (4.6) are clearly positive when $\alpha > -1$ and $y \neq 0$, the expansion (4.6) proves that the Laguerre polynomials have only real zeros when $\alpha > -1$. This also follows, in particular, from the inequalities

\[
|L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 \geq \frac{(\alpha + 1)^n}{n! (n + \alpha)_n} y^{2n} _2F_1(-n, 1 - n; \alpha + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2), \quad \alpha > -1,
\]

and

\[
|L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 \geq |L_n^\alpha(x)|^2 + \frac{(\alpha + 1)^n}{n! (n + \alpha)_n} y^{2n}, \quad \alpha > -1, \ n \geq 1,
\]
which are consequences of (4.6).

Now differentiate equation (4.5) with respect to \( y \) and apply (3.7) to derive the expansions

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 = 2y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1)!(2k+\alpha+2)(\alpha+2)_k}{n!k!(n+\alpha+1)_{k+1}}y^{2k} \\
\times {}_2F_1(-k,-k;\alpha+2;1+x^2/y^2) \left( L_{n-k-1}^{\alpha+2k+2}(x) \right)^2, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1)!(2k+\alpha+2)(\alpha+2)_k}{n!k!(n+\alpha+1)_{k+1}}y^{2k} \\
\times {}_2F_1(-k,-k;\alpha+2;1+x^2/y^2) \left( L_{n-k-1}^{\alpha+2k+2}(x) \right)^2 \\
+ 4y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(n-k-2)!(2k+\alpha+4)(\alpha+3)_{k+1}}{n!k!(n+\alpha+1)_{k+2}}y^{2k} \\
\times {}_2F_1(-k,-k-1;\alpha+3;1+x^2/y^2) \left( L_{n-k-2}^{\alpha+2k+4}(x) \right)^2, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

which yield, e.g., the inequalities

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 \geq \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{n(n+\alpha+1)} \left( yL_{n-1}^{\alpha+2}(x) \right)^2, \quad \alpha > -2, \ n \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |L_n^\alpha(z)|^2 \geq \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{n(n+\alpha+1)} \left( L_{n-1}^{\alpha+2}(x) \right)^2, \quad \alpha > -2, \ n \geq 1,
\]

and prove (after letting \( \alpha \to -2 \)) that the polynomials \( L_n^\alpha(z) \) have only real zeros when \( \alpha \geq -2 \).

For the confluent hypergeometric functions \( {}_1F_1(a; c; z) \) with \( a \) and \( c \) real valued and \( c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \), use of the expansion formulas \[4\] (42) and (43)] instead of (4.3) and (4.4) yields the nonterminating extension of (4.5)

\[
| {}_1F_1(a; c; z) |^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(c-a)_k}{k!(c)_2k(c+k-1)_k} x^{2k} \\
\times {}_2F_1(-k,c+k-1;c;1+y^2/x^2)({}_1F_1(a+k;c+2k;x))^2
\]
and hence, by (3.7),

\begin{equation}
\tag{4.14}
|1_F_1(a; c; z)|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(c-a)_k}{k!(c)_k(c+k-1)_k}(-1)^k y^{2k} \\
\times 2F_1(-k, 1-k; c; 1 + x^2/y^2) (1_F_1(a + k; c + 2k; x))^2.
\end{equation}

Then differentiation of equation (4.13) with respect of \( y \) and application of (3.7) gives the following extensions of (4.9) and (4.10) (and also of (3.19) and (3.20)), respectively,

\begin{equation}
\tag{4.15}
y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |c(c+1)\ 1_F_1(a; c; z)|^2 = 2y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k+1}(c-a)_{k+1}(c+1)}{k!(c+2)_{2k}(c+k+1)_k}(-1)^{k+1} y^{2k} \\
\times 2F_1(-k, -k; c + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2) (1_F_1(a + k + 1; c + 2k + 2; x))^2.
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\tag{4.16}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} |c(c+1)\ 1_F_1(a; c; z)|^2 = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k+1}(c-a)_{k+1}(c+1)}{k!(c+2)_{2k}(c+k+1)_k}(-1)^{k+1} y^{2k} \\
\times 2F_1(-k, -k; c + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2) (1_F_1(a + k + 1; c + 2k + 2; x))^2 \\
+ 4y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k+2}(c-a)_{k+2}}{k!(c+2)_{2k+2}(c+k+3)_k}(-1)^k y^{2k} \\
\times 2F_1(-k, -k - 1; c + 2; 1 + x^2/y^2) (1_F_1(a + k + 2; c + 2k + 4; x))^2.
\end{equation}

If \( a = -n \) is a negative integer and \( c = \alpha + 1 \), then (4.13)–(4.16) reduce to (4.5), (4.6), (4.9), (4.10), respectively. If \( a = c + n \) with \( n \) a nonnegative integer, then (4.15) and (4.16) reduce to terminating sums of squares expansions with nonnegative coefficients which prove that \( c(c+1)\ 1_F_1(c + n; c; z) \), as a function of \( z \), has only real zeros when \( c \geq -1 \), where this function is to be replaced by its \( c \to 0 \) and \( c \to -1 \) limit cases when \( c = 0 \) and \( c = -1 \), respectively. It should be noted that, in view of Kummer’s transformation formula \([3, 6.3(7)]\)

\begin{equation}
\tag{4.17}
1_F_1(a; c; x) = e^x 1_F_1(c - a; c; -x),
\end{equation}

these results on the zeros of \( c(c+1)\ 1_F_1(c + n; c; z) \) are equivalent to those obtained above for the Laguerre polynomials.
5 Jacobi polynomials

When \( \alpha > -1 \) and \( \beta > -1 \) the Jacobi polynomials

\[
P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!} {\F}_1\left(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1; \alpha + 1; (1 - z)/2\right)
\]

satisfy the orthogonality relation

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) P_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) (1 - x)^{\alpha}(1 + x)^{\beta} \, dx = 0, \quad n \neq m,
\]

for \( n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), and hence, by [20, Theorem 3.3.1], these polynomials have only real zeros. In our derivation of sums of squares expansions which imply the reality of the zeros of these polynomials we will start out by deriving sums of squares expansions for nonterminating \( {\F}_1(a, b; c; z) \) hypergeometric series with \( |z| < 1 \) (for convergence).

Let \( a, b, c \) be real valued, \( c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \), and \( |z| < 1 \). Then formula [6, (51)] gives the expansion

\[
|{\F}_1(a, b; c; z)|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(b)_k(c-a)_k(c-b)_k}{k!(c)_k(c)_k 2^k} (x^2 + y^2)^k \times {\F}_1(a + k, b + k; c + 2k; 2x - x^2 - y^2).
\]

Unfortunately, application of the inversion [6, (50)] of [6, (51)] to each of the \( {\F}_1(a + k, b + k; c + 2k; 2x - x^2 - y^2) \) functions on the right side of equation (5.3) just returns one back to the function that is on the left side. Therefore, we use formulas (44), (45), (50) in [6] to obtain, respectively, the expansions

\[
{\F}_1(a + k, b + k; c + 2k; 2x - x^2 - y^2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + k)_j(b + k)_j}{j!(c + 2k)_j} (-1)^j(x^2 + y^2)^j {\F}_1(a + k + j, b + k + j; c + 2k + j; 2x),
\]

\[
{\F}_1(a + k + j, b + k + j; c + 2k + j; 2x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + k + j)_m(b + k + j)_m}{m!(c + 2k + j)_m} x^{2m} \times {\F}_1(a + k + j + m, b + k + j + m; c + 2k + j + m; 2x - x^2),
\]
\[ 2F_1(a + k + j + m, b + k + j + m; c + 2k + j + m; 2x - x^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + k + j + m)_n (b + k + j + m)_n (c - a + k)_n (c - b + k)_n (-1)^n x^{2n}}{n! (c + 2k + j + m + n - 1)_n (c + 2k + j + m)_n} \]
\[ \times (2F_1(a + k + j + m + n, b + k + j + m + n; c + 2k + j + m + 2n; x))^2, \]

and then substitute these expansions in turn into (5.3), change the order of summation and use the binomial theorem to obtain

\[ |2F_1(a, b; c; z)|^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(a)_m (b)_m (c - a)_j (c - b)_j}{j! (m - j)! (c)_j (m + c - 1)_j} (-1)^m x^{2j} y^{2m-2j} \]
\[ \times 2F_1(-j, m - c - 1; c; 1 + y^2/x^2) (2F_1(m + a, m + b; m + j + c; x))^2. \]

Application of (3.7) to the first \( 2F_1 \) series on the right side of (5.7) gives

\[ |2F_1(a, b; c; z)|^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(a)_m (b)_m (c - a)_j (c - b)_j}{j! (m - j)! (c)_j (m + c - 1)_j} (-1)^m x^{2j} y^{2m} \]
\[ \times 2F_1(-j, 1 - m; c; 1 + x^2/y^2) (2F_1(m + a, m + b; m + j + c; x))^2, \]

which contains (4.14) as a limit case. When \( a = -n \) is a negative integer, \( b = n + \alpha + \beta + 1 \) and \( c = \alpha + 1 \), it follows from (5.8) that

\[ \left| \frac{n!}{(\alpha + 1)_n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2z) \right|^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-n)_m (n + \alpha + \beta + 1)_m (n + \alpha + 1)_j (-n - \beta)_j}{j! (m - j)! (\alpha + 1)_j (m + \alpha)_j} (-1)^m x^{2j} y^{2m} \]
\[ \times 2F_1(-j, 1 - m; \alpha + 1; 1 + x^2/y^2) (2F_1(m - n, m + n + \alpha + \beta + 1; m + j + \alpha + 1; x))^2, \]

which gives a sums of squares proof that the Jacobi polynomials \( P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) \) have only real zeros when \( \alpha, \beta > -1 \) (since the coefficients in (5.9) are then clearly positive) and hence, by continuity, when \( \alpha, \beta \geq -1 \). The restriction that \( \alpha, \beta \geq -1 \) cannot be extended to \( \alpha, \beta \geq -2 \) because \( P_2^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) \) has non-real zeros when \( \alpha, \beta > -2 \) and \( \alpha + \beta < -3 \).

As in sections 3 and 4 one may repeatedly differentiate (5.7) with respect to \( y \) and apply (3.7) to obtain extensions of (4.15), (4.16), etc. But, since the resulting identities are quite lengthy and do not add any additional \( (\alpha, \beta) \).
for which the Jacobi polynomials have only real zeros, we will omit them and only point out that the first two differentiations give identities that yield, in particular, the inequalities

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left| P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2z) \right|^2 \geq \frac{2n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(\alpha + 1)n}{n! n!} y^{2n}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left| P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2z) \right|^2 \geq \frac{2n(2n - 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(\alpha + 1)n}{n! n!} y^{2n-2}
\]

when \( n \geq 1 \) and \( \alpha, \beta \geq -1 \).

In subsequent papers it will be shown that squares of real valued functions can also be used to prove the reality of the zeros of some non-classical families of orthogonal polynomials, of the cosine transforms

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-a \cosh t} \cos z t \, dt, \quad a > 0,
\]

and of some other entire functions.
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